

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/001,814	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tim Heitbrink	1722	

All Participants:

(1) Tim Heitbrink.

(2) Brett Sylvester.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1,12-21

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Sylvester agreed to the change to claim 1 in order to put the claim in proper idiomatic English. Claims 11-21 were canceled since they were drawn to a non-elected group of claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. ~~The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.~~ *See above.*
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)